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## Purpose

1. To evaluate performance of afternoon storms forecast by CReSS in Taiwan.
2. To evaluate performance of afternoon storms forecast in correct regions (Northern, Central, Southern, Eastern Taiwan) .
3. To evaluate performance of afternoon storms forecast accumulated rainfall in Taiwan.

## Data Source

## Observation ：

## CWB

Daily accumulated rainfall（updated every hour）；
Satellite Visible（or IR）cloud image；
Surface weather map
Forecast：
CReSS【2．5km x 2.5 km 《Grid size 》， 72 hr 《forecast length》】

## Hourly rainfall forecast

（0000UTC forecast made two days before ，one day before and on that day．）


## Method

1-1. Exclude the days which were affected by a typhoon or front.

1-2. Exclude the rainfall cases caused by clouds that move from the ocean.
2. Record information (including accumulated rainfall, region, starting time) in observation and forecast.
3. Compute statistics and analyze the results.

## What does afternoon storms look like

Clouds and rainfall both start from the inland (mountain).
Time it happened : 11~21 o'clock
Threshold of accumulated rainfall : over 10 mm


## Affected by typhoon



## Affected by front



## Affected by clouds moved from the ocean



## Categorical statistics \& Skill score



| (e) | Observation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forecast | $Y$ | $N$ |  |
|  | $Y$ | $H$ |  |
|  | FA |  |  |
|  | M | CN |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Categorical statistics \& Skill score

Prefigurance (PF)
Post agreement (PA)
Threat score (TS)

Accuracy (ACC)

Bias (BS)


## Sample size (number of days)

|  | 2011 | 2012 | $2011 \& 2012$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| May | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| June | 11 | 1 | 12 |
| July | 15 | 12 | 27 |
| August | 12 | 4 | 16 |
| September | 5 | 13 | 18 |
| October | 4 | 5 | 9 |
| Total | 50 | 39 | 89 |



## Subregion of Taiwan

N-Northern
C-Central
S-Southern

E-Eastern


## Categorical statistics


(a) In different region

Northern - CN
Central - H
Southern - M
Eastern - CN

(b) In same region

## Performance of afternoon storms forecast in correct regions

|  | D-2 | D-1 | D 0 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { () sample size } \\ & D-2 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 47 | 44 | 67 |  |  |  |  | $\square \mathrm{D}-1$ <br> - 0 |
| FA | 32 | 26 | 25 |  |  | (92) |  | (356) |
| M | 81 | 84 | 61 |  |  | $(79)^{(70)}$ |  |  |
| CN | 196 | 202 | 203 D |  | (128) |  | (153) |  |
| PF | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.52 | 0.82 |  |  | (153) |  |
| PA | 0.59 | 0.63 | $\rightarrow 0.73$ | 0.90 |  |  | ${ }^{(160)}{ }_{(154)}$ |  |
| TS | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.44 | 0.75 |  |  |  |  |
| ACC | 0.68 | 0.69 | $\xrightarrow{+3.76}$ | 0.80 |  |  |  |  |
| BS | 0.62 | 0.55 | 0.72 |  | PF | PA | TS | ACC |


|  | PF | D-2 | D-1 | D 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northern | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
|  | Central | 0.56 |  | 0.74 |
|  | Southern | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.32 |
|  | Eastern | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.82 |
|  | PA | D-2 | D-1 | D 0 |
|  | Northern | 0.38 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
|  | Central | 0.77 | 0.81 | 0.83 |
|  | Southern | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.80 |
|  | Eastern | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.47 |
|  | TS | D-2 | D-1 | D 0 |
|  | Northern | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
|  | Central | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.65 |
|  | Southern | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.30 |
|  | Eastern | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.43 |
|  | ACC | D-2 | D-1 | D 0 |
|  | Northern | 0.67 | 0.73 | 0.73 |
|  | Central | 0.63 | 0.64 | 0.75 |
|  | Southern | 0.65 | 0.58 | 0.69 |
|  | Eastern | 0.78 | 0.81 | 0.87 |



## Scatter plot of rainfall



## Bias of rainfall

| BS | $\mathrm{D}-2$ | $\mathrm{D}-1$ | D 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| over 2 | $4(8.5 \%)$ | $5(11.4 \%)$ | $10(14.9 \%)$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 5} \sim 2$ | $37(78.7 \%)$ | $33(75.0 \%)$ | $46(68.7 \%)$ |
| less than $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ | $6(12.8 \%)$ | $6(13.6 \%)$ | $11(16.0 \%)$ |




## Distribution of Bias



## Conclusion

> Model forecast of rainfall over entire Taiwan or in sub-regions

1. Forecast made on that day is the best ( $\mathrm{TS}=0.75$ or 0.44 ).
2. Compare PF with PA, if model forecast rainfall occurrence, there is high chance to be correct, but model also misses some rainfall cases.
3. Rainfall occurrence frequency in forecast is lower than in observation.
> Compare four sub-regions
4. Performance in central region is the best, and it also has biggest sample size. 2. In eastern Taiwan, forecast made on that day is much better than those made one day or two days before.
$>$ In rainfall amount
5. Model tends to forecast more rain in events with light rainfall, but increasingly less rainfall in events with heavier rainfall.
6. High percentage of events with BS in the range of 0.5~2 (68.7~78.7\%).
7. When rainfall amount in forecast is close to observation, model tends to forecast a little less rainfall.
